Introduction

Gap junctions are intercellular channels electrically and metabolically coupling cells through direct exchange of ions and small molecules. Ubiquitous throughout invertebrate and vertebrate nervous systems, gap junctions provide the anatomic substrate for electrical synapses. Like their chemical synapse counterparts, gap junctions exhibit developmentally regulated tissue- and cell-specific expression patterns. Yet, ne…

Significance Statement

Electrical synapses mediated by gap junctions are fundamental components of neural networks. In retina, coupling within the Aii-ON CBC network shapes visual processing in both the scotopic and photopic networks. In retinal degenerations, these same gap junctions mediate oscillatory activity that contributes to visual impairment. Here, we use high-resolution connectomics strategies to identify gap junctions and cellular partnerships. We describe novel, pervasive motifs both within and across classes of ON CBCs that dramatically extend the Aii-ON CBC network. These motifs are highly specific with implications for both signal processing within the retina and therapeutic interventions for blinding conditions. These findings highlight the underappreciated contribution of coupling motifs in retinal circuitry and the necessity of their detection in connectomics studies.
specific expression (Lin et al., 2005; Kikara et al., 2006), divergent conductance and selectivity (Veenstra et al., 1995), and extensive plasticity through gating modulation (O’Brien, 2019).

Historically believed to simply facilitate rapid bidirectional signal propagation, electrical synapses are increasingly acknowledged as key network components with diverse roles in the transmission and integration of signals (Nagy et al., 2018). Gap junctions are well known for mediating synchronization of oscillatory activity among neuronal ensembles, the fundamental mechanism underlying emergence of the central pattern generator in the embryonic zebrafish spinal cord (Saint-Amant and Drapeau, 2001), and implicated in cognition, including attention, learning, and memory (Hormuzdi et al., 2001; Buhl et al., 2003; Long et al., 2004; Frisch et al., 2005; Coulon and Landisman, 2017). Additional emergent network properties afforded by synchrony (Marder, 1998) include the following: coincidence detection (Das et al., 2017), enhanced signal saliency (DeVries et al., 2002), pathway sensitization (Yang et al., 1990; Rash et al., 2013), low-pass filtering triggering desynchronization (Vervecke et al., 2010), and shunting of presynaptic signals for regulatory feedback (Kawano et al., 2011). Despite repeated findings of critical roles for gap junctions in the formation and function of neural networks, the expressing cells, participating circuits, and function remain largely unknown, and oft ignored.

Gap junctions in mammalian retina are best known for their role in scotopic night vision as obligate components of the primary pathway. In dim light, signals produced by light-sensing rod photoreceptors are collected by rod bipolar cells and transferred through chemical synapses to Aii amacrine cells (ACs). Aii cells distribute these scotopic signals into the ON/OFF pathways of photopic day vision established by the opposing functional responses of ON and OFF cone bipolar cell (CBC) superfamilies to light. Using sign-inverting chemical synapses onto OFF CBCs and sign-conserving electrical synapses with ON CBCs, Aii cells thereby maintain opposing light responses (Kolb and Famiglietti, 1974; Marc et al., 2014). CBCs transmit these scotopic signals (or photopic signals from cone photoreceptors) to ganglion cells (GCs) for projection to the brain. Absence of the connexin proteins supporting Aii-ON CBC gap junctions strongly impairs scotopic signaling (Guldenagel et al., 2001; Deans et al., 2002; Maxeiner et al., 2005). The 5-7 classes of mammalian ON CBCs are believed to serve parallel processing channels and appear to receive differential input from Aii cells (McGuire et al., 1984; Cohen and Sterling, 1990; Veruki and Harteit, 2002a; Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017). Gap junctions or coupling have also been reported between CBCs (Kolb, 1979; Marc et al., 1988; Cohen and Sterling, 1990; Umino et al., 1994; Luo et al., 1999; Mills, 1999; Dacey et al., 2000; Jacoby and Marshak, 2000; Arai et al., 2010; Kántor et al., 2017; Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017). Unfortunately, partner identification largely remains unresolved, and species-specific divergence is suggested. Understanding ON CBC coupling motifs is critical as they contribute to shaping visual processing in both the scotopic and photopic networks (Guldenagel et al., 2001; Deans et al., 2002; Maxeiner et al., 2005; Demb and Singer, 2012; Farrow et al., 2013; Kuo et al., 2016; Selheim et al., 2020). Moreover, these gap junctions subserve aberrant hyperactivity contributing to visual impairment in retinal degenerative disease (Trenholm et al., 2012; Ivanova et al., 2016).

Here, we used serial section transmission electron microscopy (TEM)-based ultrastructural connectomics to map the ground truth for gap junction contribution to retinal circuits. We report class-specific coupling patterns for all 7 rabbit ON CBC classes that are richer and more extensive than previously appreciated. These motifs reveal extensive coupling both within and across parallel processing streams of the rabbit retina, with implications for photopic and scotopic visual networks and their disruption in disease.

Materials and Methods

Connectomics volume RC1

Volume construction. Retinal Connectome 1 (RC1) is an ultrastructural dataset acquired at 2.18 nm/pixel resolution from the retina of a light-adapted 13-month-old female Dutch Belted rabbit (Oregon Rabbity). Dataset is freely available at https://connectomes.utah.edu. RC1 spans the inner nuclear layer (INL) through GC layer of a 0.25-mm-diameter field of mid-peripheral retina. Methods concerning tissue acquisition and processing, volume assembly, visualization, and annotation have been extensively detailed (Anderson et al., 2009, 2011a,b; Lauritzen et al., 2013, 2019; Marc et al., 2013, 2014). In short, the RC1 dataset was constructed from 371 serial TEM sections (70-90 nm thick), captured via Automated Transmission Electron Microscopy at 5000×, combined with 11 optical sections intercalated through the inner plexiform layer (IPL), 6 capstone optical sections in the INL, and another 12 capstone optical sections in the GC layer. These optical sections were probed for small-molecule signals for computational molecular phenotyping (Marc et al., 1995). Sections were aligned into a single volume using the NCR ToolSet, which has since been replaced by Norrnir (RRID: SCR_016458). All protocols were in accord with Institutional Animal Care and Use protocols of the University of Utah, the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Visual Research, and the Policies on the Use of Animals and Humans in Neuroscience Research of the Society for Neuroscience.

Dataset viewing and annotation. Dataset visualization and annotation were performed using the Viking Viewer for Connectomics (RRID: SCR_005986; referred to hereafter as “Viking”), available via free license for educational use through the University of Utah. Viking allows concurrent volume annotation by multiple users across html-compliant protocols. Our manual annotation process involves users converting the raw 3D image data into a set of 2D shape geometries stored in a central Microsoft SQL Server Spatial Database. Each cell is tracked through the cross-sectional images of the 3D volume while recording the boundaries of the cell membrane and cellular features (e.g., ribbon presynapse, postsynaptic density, etc.) into the database. An “annotation” is a single disconnected 2D outline of a cell or cellular feature on a single section image and any user-defined data. Each cellular feature, or “structure,” is described by a set of annotations interconnected across sections that collectively describe its 3D morphology. For legacy reasons, RC1 cell boundaries were encoded using a 2D disk sized to the largest diameter that can be completely contained within the continuous shape of the cell’s membrane on a given section. Membrane-associated structures, such as gap junctions and postsynaptic densities, were encoded as poly-lines in the database and visualized as curves in the Viking user interface. Viking organizes structures hierarchically and relationally. All cellular features are internal features of a cell and organized in a parent-child hierarchy. Relational connections are encoded as links between structures. For example, a ribbon presynapse in a bipolar cell would be a “child” of that bipolar cell “parent.” In turn, the ribbon presynapse could be linked to a postsynaptic density of the opposed cell to record the relationship between the structures. Importantly, a gap junction instance requires 2 gap junction annotations, each a child of their respective parent cells, with a bidirectional link. As some candidate gap junctions present with oblique orientations, confidence values were assigned to each annotation to reflect their confirmed versus candidate status and results of reimagining. Reimagining to obtain optimized section tilt for structure validation was performed at 40,000× magnification (0.27 nm/pixel resolution) with geometric tilt.

Dataset analysis. All cells and structures are automatically assigned unique identifiers in the Microsoft SQL Server Spatial Database during annotation and numerically indexed to their location, shapes, and connectivity within RC1. This enables direct queries of the SQL database
Cell identification
The small-molecule signals from immunolabeled capstone and intercalated sections through the cell somas in the INL of the R1 dataset for glutamate, glycine, and 4-aminobutyrate (GABA) enabled classification of every soma in the INL as belonging to an OFF CBC, ON CBC, rod bipolar cell, narrow-field glycinergic AC (GAC), wide-field GABAergic AC, or Müller cells (Anderson et al., 2009, 2011b). The characteristic signature of high glutamate, medium glycine, and no GABA initially identified the subset of ON CBC candidates. ON CBC identity was confirmed by the presence of ribbon-type and absence of conventional-type presynaptic terminals. Classification of these cells proceeded as described in Results based on axonal arbor features, including field area and the depth and breadth of stratification within the IPL, tiling, and synaptology. This process was performed iteratively until every candidate ON CBC with arbor fully contained within the volume was classified.

Synaptology and coupling profiles
Tabulations of synapses and other child structures, as well as details regarding the partner cell and child structure, were obtained from Microsoft SQL queries or Cell Sketches. Cell Sketches software was developed to support the visual analysis of neuron statistics and spatial properties. It pulls data from RC1’s public interface (http://connectomes.utah.edu/export/odata.html) and is implemented in JavaScript using AngularJS (http://www.angularjs.org) and D3.js (https://d3js.org). Cell Sketches counts synapse statistics through iterative database queries.

Cell morphometrics and distribution
Aii and ON CBC stratification. To control for the inherent volume curvature and variability in the position of the IPL, the section corresponding to the top and bottom of the IPL was determined based on the emergence or disappearance of neuronal processes at the XY somal position for 22 RC1 Aii cells directly from volume visualization in Viking. For Aii cells impacted by the block refacing event in strata 4/5 of the IPL, the bottom of the IPL was estimated using the average IPL thickness calculated from non-impacted Aii cells (n = 8 of 22 Aii cells, 225 ± 4 sections). Using vertical views of 3D reconstructions in VikingView of Aii cell morphology and synaptology, the ON/OFF boundary position was assessed at the XY somal position as the bottom of the lobular dendrites and top of gap junction compartmentalization (Marc et al., 2014). The consistency of the calculated ON/OFF boundary position within the IPL (45% ± 3% IPL; n = 22 Aii cells) suggests that our normalization method accounted well for volume curvature and local variation is limited. Stratification of ON CBC arbor was evaluated from histograms of annotation frequency by R1 section, using a 5-section bin size. To control for volume curvature, the top and bottom of a cell’s axonal arbor were determined as the start or end section, respectively, of the bin situated at the inflection points of the histogram curve. As the block refacing event also impacted determination of the bottom of the IPL for ON CBC axonal stratification measures, the position of these sections within the IPL was calculated as the average section difference from the top or bottom of the IPL determined for the nearest Aii cell(s).

Branch path complexity. Using the 3D reconstructions rendered in VikingView or VikingPlot, binary trees were manually drawn to capture the branching topology, ignoring branch lengths. Tree elements (Uylings and van Pelt, 2002) were marked and the number of branch terminals derived. Branch path complexity was determined using the parameterization and calculation reported by Elliott et al. (2015).

Mosaic analysis. Class mosaics were evaluated for regularity using the conformity ratio (mean/SD of the nearest neighbor distances), as this measure is least impacted by boundary effects (Cook, 1996). Nearest neighbor distances were obtained using a Microsoft SQL query that calculates the distance between the geometric centroids of annotations on a given section in the R1 dataset. Because of slight differences in somal depths across the volume, sections were chosen to optimize soma position and remove annotation ambiguity (section 0001-CBb5, CBb6; section 0023-CBb4, CBb4w; section 0030-CBb3, CBb3n).

Intersection analysis
The area of spatial overlap between cell arbor was calculated using a Microsoft SQL query (Anderson et al., 2019). First, the 2D convex hulls of all cells in 2 specified classes were determined. The intersection of the convex hulls for all pairwise combinations between the 2 classes was identified and the overlap fraction determined. The area of spatial overlap was then computed by multiplying the fraction by the area of the cell’s convex hull. Using the R software environment, the data were fitted to a linear model with a y intercept of zero and adjusted R² values obtained. An ANCOVA was then run using package “car” and the post hoc Scheffé test run using package “agricolae.”

Size distribution of gap junctions
The areas of the individual gap junction plaques formed by the 37 ON CBCs examined in this study were estimated by summing the products of the annotation lengths on each section multiplied by section thickness. Polyline annotations for gap junctions were centered on the inner leaflet of the parent cell’s plasma membrane and extended the length of the zippered gap junction. In oblique and en face views, annotations were centered in the middle of the density and extended the length of the darkest continuous portion. Area values were obtained through a Microsoft SQL query of the spatial database, which assumed 90 nm section thickness. Freeze-fracture studies have revealed that gap junctions of the rodent IPL exist in a variety of configurations (Kamasawa et al., 2006). Our analyses are restricted to the ON sublamina, where string and ribbon configurations are virtually absent. Thus, the gap junctions described in this report likely exist in plaque (conventional crystalline or noncrystalline) or reticular (containing small voids) configurations, supporting our methodology for size estimation. Plasticity evoked by light adaptation and neurotransmission primarily alters the open conductance of existing channels by controlling their phosphorylation state, rather than promoting changes in the abundance or type of channels. Furthermore, while light-dependent changes in gap junctional area (arising from changes in connexon density) have been reported for gap junctions coupling horizontal cells in teleosts (Kurz-Iser and Wolburg, 1986; Baldridge et al., 1989; Kohler et al., 1990; Washio et al., 1991; Kurz-Iser et al., 1992), no such changes in connexon density or changes in the number or distribution of gap junction configurations were reported in the IPL of rat or mice under different light adaptation conditions and points in the circadian cycle (Kamasawa et al., 2006). As each gap junction is represented by 2 linked gap junction annotations, 1 belonging to each of the coupled parent cells, size estimates for only 1 annotation was used to prevent overrepresentation of homocellular gap junctions during analyses, as appropriate. The size distributions for gap junctions mediating various coupling modes both in sum and within classes were compared using pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with continuity correction using the R software environment. All reported p values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (α = 0.5).

Spatial distribution of gap junctions
Positions of the 1339 gap junctions formed by the 37 ON CBCs detailed in this study were assessed in the horizontal plane as a function of...
distance from the geometric center of the parent cell’s convex hull using the spatial properties derived by Cell Sketches. Using the 2D (XY) position of location annotations in the RCI database, Cell Sketches computes the convex hull of structures using an implementation of Andrew’s Monotone Chain Algorithm (Bostock et al., 2011). Geometric centroids of these convex hulls are then used to compute the 2D distance between a child structure and the parent cell’s axonal territory. As bipolar cell axonal arbor is best described as star domains, to evaluate the distribution of gap junctions relative to arbor boundaries, the vertices of the convex hull for each cell were located, annotated as child structures, and distances computed as for gap junctions. As each gap junction is represented by 2 linked gap junction annotations, 1 belonging to each of the coupled parent cells, distance measures for only 1 annotation were used to prevent overrepresentation of homocellular gap junctions in the analyses, as appropriate. The spatial distributions for gap junctions mediating various coupling modes and convex hull vertices were compared using pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with continuity correction using the R software environment. All reported p values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (α = 0.5).

Glycine signatures
The use of quantitative small-molecule signatures, including glycine to define ON CBCs coupled to GACs and Aii cells, has been described previously (Marc, 1999; Anderson et al., 2011b; Marc et al., 2014). Every ON CBC in RCI has its soma or axon aligned with sections 1, 30, and/or 152 containing quantitative grayscale imagery of glycine immunoreactivity (Anderson et al., 2011b), spanning intracellular concentrations ranging from ≈0.4 to 10 m (Jones et al., 2003; Marc and Jones, 2003). All retinal cells contain measurable levels of glycine. Most cells (e.g., rod bipolar cells, OFF CBCs, Müller cells) maintain very low levels at <0.1 m, whereas true GACs reach 0.6–10 m (Kalloniatis et al., 1996; Marc et al., 2014). ON CBCs lie in between, with pixel values corresponding to 0.2–0.5 m. Such glycine signals have been interpreted as arising from gap junctional coupling (Marc and Liu, 1984; Cohen and Sterling, 1986; Pourcho and Goebel, 1987; Vaney et al., 1998; Pow and Hendrickson, 2000; Petrides and Trexler, 2008; Marc et al., 2014). Histograms of quantitative glycine signal were obtained for each bipolar cell in RCI, and the mean pixel value was calculated using ImageJ (RRID:SCR_003070). Glycine values reported here are higher than those reported previously (Marc and Jones, 1984; Vaney et al., 1998; Marc et al., 2014). As each gap junction is represented by the geometric center of the parent cell and the CBbwf arbor along the x axis based on reference to Aii cells, IPL and ON/OFF boundaries were defined using neighboring Aii cell morphology and connectivity compartmentalization. Connectivity graphs were generated using Graffinity (Stevanovic, 2018). All graphs and images were imported into Adobe Illustrator CC 2018 (Adobe; RRID:SCR_010279) for final figure organization.

Experimental design and statistical analysis
All results were derived from the RCI dataset, a single volume of retinal tissue containing at least 16 cells for every ON CBC class (except CBbwf). At least 5 fully reconstructed and mapped cells from each class were used to compile synaptology and coupling profiles. Data from 2 incomplete cells were reported, where possible, for the wide-field ON cone bipolar (CBbwf) class. Analyses used the 1339 gap junctions formed by the 37 ON CBCs or 455 gap junctions formed by the 4 Aii cells detailed in this paper. The numbers of cells, synapses, or gap junctions for each statistic are provided in Results. All values are reported as the mean ± SD, coefficient of variation (CV), unless otherwise noted. Statistical analyses of network features were detailed in the Materials and Methods sections describing the analyses. Statistical analyses were performed in Microsoft Excel 2016 (RRID:SCR_016137) or R version 3.6.1 (RRID:SCR_001905), as indicated. The R software environment reports p values <2.2e−16 as zero. We report these in figures (***) and in the text (p<2.2e−16). The following section details the analyses performed in this publication.

Software accessibility

Code accessibility
All Microsoft SQL queries used in this paper are freely available (https://github.com/connectomes/Archive/tree/2018_OnBipolarCoupling (Anderson et al., 2019). Specific cell numbers, labels, and synapse types contained within these queries can be changed according to the provided database schema. For specific cell and structure identification numbers, see the figure legends.

Results
During reconstruction of the ON CBCs in RCI in our previous work (Marc et al., 2014; Lauritzen et al., 2019), we discovered that gap junctions between ON CBCs were more common than those formed with Aii cells. As this contradicts the general belief that Aii cells are indeed the dominate coupling partner of ON CBCs and have implications on visual processing in the retina, we sought to investigate these specific motifs in more detail. Doing so first required a comprehensive classification of the ON CBCs in RCI. This classification required additional parameters beyond conventional morphologic measures, namely, connectivity, in which several coupling motifs proved valuable in achieving complete classification. Therefore, we first describe the classification of ON CBCs in rabbit retina into 7 classes. We then detail their coupling profiles, including the prevalence and distribution.
of gap junctions and the specificity of coupling partnerships, size, and spatial topologies. Lastly, we explore the implications of these coupling motifs on retinal networks, particularly on the distribution of scotopic signals by Aii cells, and the potential mixing of parallel processing streams for input to GCs.

**Classification of ON CBCs**

Here, we use terminology based on computational classification theory where a *class* is a collection of members sharing certain attributes and separable from other classes. If further separation is not possible, these may reflect natural classes (Marc and Jones, 2002; Marc et al., 2018). Accordingly, we have distinguished 7 classes of ON CBCs in rabbit retina: CBb3, CBb3n, CBb4, CBb4w, CBb5, CBb6, and CBbwf (Fig. 1A). We previously adopted a nomenclature (Marc et al., 2018; Lauritzen et al., 2019) that merged our early classifications (Lauritzen et al., 2013; Marc et al., 2014) with those of MacNeil et al. (2004) and McGillem and Dacheux (2001), and retain it here for purposes of consistency. One exception is the renaming of the CBb7 class from our previous publication (Marc et al., 2014) to CBb6. We stress, however, that our numbers do not reflect positioning within the historical, 5 equal strata divisions of the retinal IPL as was common in many early classification schemes.

Manual classification in RC1 began with a small set of ~40 fully reconstructed and centrally located bipolar cells. Historically, classification of ON CBCs relied heavily on axon arbor area and the stratification depth and breadth of the axonal arbors within the IPL (Famiglietti, 1981; McGuire et al., 1984; Cohen and Sterling, 1990; Strettoi et al., 1994; Jeon and Masland, 1995; Massey and Mills, 1996; Brown and Masland, 1999; McGillem and Dacheux, 2001; Casini et al., 2002; MacNeil et al., 2004; Pignatelli and Strettoi, 2004; MacNeil and Gaul, 2008; Wässle et al., 2009; Helmstaedter et al., 2013; Greene et al., 2016; MacNeil and Gaul, 2008).

In RC1 (mid-peripheral rabbit retina), only 3 ON CBC classes could be readily distinguished using these variables (Fig. 1A; Table 1). CBbwf was easily identified due to its notably large arbor size. Its axonal arbor begins to spread laterally at the top of stratum 4 with processes terminating deep within stratum 5. The CBb5 and CBb6 classes could be distinguished by their smaller arbors and distinct, albeit overlapping, depth of axonal stratification. CBb5 cells stratify largely within stratum 4, whereas CBb6 cells span strata 4 and 5. The remaining 4 classes (CBb3/3n/4/4w) fully costratify within the proximal IPL, occupying the lower three-fourths of stratum 3 and extending into the top half of stratum 4. Detailed analysis of Aii cell morphology and connectivity compartmentalization are consistent with the slightly deeper ON/OFF boundary implied by the top of these arborizations (Aii cell ON/OFF boundary = 46 ± 3%, n = 8 Aii cells).

Much like the 4 costratifying classes of mouse Type 5 ON CBCs (Helmstaedter et al., 2013; Greene et al., 2016; Shekhar et al., 2016; Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017), the costratified rabbit CBb3/3n/4/4w classes lack obvious discriminating morphologic features. Therefore, additional variables were needed for further classification. Recent CBC classification schemes in mouse found
the frequency or distribution of synaptic ribbons provided discriminatory power (Tsukamoto and Omi, 2014, 2017), but we found no obvious trends. Target-specific connectivity has also aided CBC classification, including GC target specificity and coupling with Aii cells (Cohen and Sterling, 1990; Helmstaedter et al., 2013; Greene et al., 2016). In RC1, GC dendritic processes are sufficiently spaced such that some ON CBCs may not have an opportunity for connectivity, despite class specificity. Aii cells are narrow field, and their arbors highly overlapping, providing ample contact opportunity. Thus, much like the separability achieved for the b3 and b4 classes using Aii connectivity in cat retina (Cohen and Sterling, 1990), connectivity with Aii cells divided the shallowly stratifying ON CBCs of RC1 into 2 groups based on a virtually binary presence/absence of gap junctions with Aii cells. Cells within the resulting groups still exhibited significant arbor overlap (lack of tiling), suggesting that at least 2 classes remained intermingled in both the Aii-coupled and non-coupled groups. The Aii-coupled group showed some differences in arbor size among the cells, but cells of the Aii-noncoupled group were very similar. Examining synaptology and non-Aii coupling partners revealed that cells of both groups formed frequent gap junctions with some cells of the other group, but rare or no coupling with other cells of the group, despite opportunity afforded by costratified and overlapping arbors. Using these coupling patterns, together with 3D plots to assess tiling and compare arbor sizes, the cells within each resultant group were finally resolved: the Aii-coupled group resolved into the narrow field CBb3n and wide-field CBb4w classes, whereas the Aii-non-coupled group resolved into the CBb3 and CBb4 classes. The discriminating coupling patterns were therefore the high frequency of coupling of CBb3 cells with CBb3n cells and of CBb4 cells with CBb4w cells, and the virtual lack of coupling of CBb3 cells with CBb4w and of CBb4 cells with CBb3n (detailed in the following sections).

The virtually binary nature of discriminating coupling relationships (with Aii, CBb4w and CBb3n) enabled us to rapidly extend classification across the entire RC1 volume after an initial segregation based on stratification. Notably, this scheme often allowed classification of even partial cells. Thus, of the 178 whole or partial ON CBCs identified in RC1, 156 (88%) were classified as 1 of the 7 classes, 40 of which had at least some portion of their arbors extending beyond volume boundaries. Of the remaining 22 unclassified cells, 14 somas had descending axons that extended outside of the volume boundaries and another 8 had significant portions of their arbors lost due to a block refacing event in strata 4/5 of the IPL that affected tracing of some ON CBCs in the northeast corner of the volume.

Notably, every cell with complete arbor reconstruction was identified as 1 of the 7 classes, and no intermediate forms were identified (Cohen and Sterling, 1990). The conformity ratios (Table 1) of the resulting class mosaics are consistent with those reported for identified neuronal mosaics in the mammalian retina (Wässle and Riemann, 1978; Cook, 1996; Reese, 2008; Marc, 2009). Tiling of neighboring arbors without (or with only minimal) overlap is a fundamental rule that holds for many neuronal classes and has been shown for all known CBC classes where evaluated (Wässle et al., 2009; Helmstaedter et al., 2013; Euler et al., 2014; Tsukamoto and Omi, 2014, 2016, 2017; Greene et al., 2016; Kántor et al., 2017). With the possible exception of the CBbwf class, which exhibited some arbor overlap, our classification resulted in sheets of cells that tiled the volume (Fig. 1B), further supporting each as a pure class.

Is this class list complete? Such a “parts list” is essential in the study of networks. Our classifications reveal that RC1 contains >15 cells of all but one ON CBC class and, importantly, at least 2 of the rarest class (CBbwf). Targeted searches using spatial queries and brute force surveys for unannotated or unclassified bipolar processes within the ON sublamina failed to identify additional candidates. Therefore, any additional classes must (1) be extremely sparse, (2) lack coupling with Aii cells, (3) lack coupling with all other ON CBC classes, and/or (4) stratify outside the ON sublamina of the IPL. There is no evidence suggesting a larger class than CBbwf. Of the synaptic ribbon-forming cells fitting criteria 2–4, all exhibit Aii connectivity characteristic of either OFF CBCs or rod bipolar cells.

### Ultrastructural identification of intercellular contacts

ON CBC axon terminals participate in a number of synapse types within the retinal IPL. In addition to electrical synapses mediated by gap junctions, these terminals provide excitatory glutamatergic drive via ribbon- and bipolar conventional-type synapses to GC and AC processes, and receive inhibitory conventional-type input from AAs. The connectomics strategy used in the construction and annotation of RC1 provides unparalleled visualization and quantification of connectivity. Figure 2A, A’, B’, B” are 3D reconstructions of the soma and axonal arbor of an ON CBC from RC1, illustrating the relative size and distribution of all identified synaptic contacts. At 2.18 nm/pixel (native RC1 resolution), all types of synaptic and structural contacts are directly discernable (Fig. 2C–G). Importantly, even intercellular synaptic and structural contacts at nonoptimal orientations are discernable from nonsynaptic membrane-to-membrane appositions, enabling us to take advantage of the unique capabilities of serial section TEM to perform high magnification reimaging with goniometric tilt. This is particularly relevant for the validation of gap junctions as they are indistinguishable from adherens junctions at oblique angles. At native resolution, a gap...
Figure 2. Ultrastructural identification and visualization of connectivity. A, Horizontal view of a 3D rendering of the soma and axonal arbor reconstruction of cell 5598 and its 413 synaptic structures. The synaptology includes ribbons (n = 90, green), bipolar conventional presynapses (n = 16, light blue), postsynaptic densities to presynaptic conventional synapses from ACs (n = 226, red), and gap junctions (n = 81, white). Synapses are rendered as volumetric shapes using circles having a diameter equal to the summed segment length of the open curve annotation for the visible structure on each section. A’, Vertical view of A. A9, Cell morphology rendered transparent to visualize synaptic structures from A and A’. B, Bipolar cell conventional presynapse 91577 exhibits all the features of a traditional ribbon synapse, including vesicles present at the plasma membrane, but lacks the ribbon structure. B’, Enlarged view of F. Gap junction located between open arrowheads. C, Postsynaptic density 114606 to an AC (yellow) conventional presynapse. D, Bipolar cell conventional presynapse 56921 mediates coupling with an ON CBC (pink). E, Adherens junction 124245 formed with a cell of unknown identity (yellow). E’, Enlarged view of G. Arrowheads indicate adherens junction boundaries. F, Gap junction 56921 from F reimaged at 40,000× magnification (resolution = 0.27 nm/pixel) with goniometric tilt (10°). Open arrowheads indicate gap.
junction in RC1 oriented perpendicularly to the section plane appears as a single, thin, electron-dense band between 2 cells (Fig. 2F,F′) (Anderson et al., 2011b; Marc et al., 2014, 2018). The membranes of the 2 cells appear to “pinch” together at this location, obliterating any visible extracellular space between the 2 cells. This presentation has been confirmed through extensive reimagining at high resolution and goniometric tilt (n = 40; 40,000× magnification = 0.27 nm/pixel resolution) to observe the pentalamellar profile (alternating dark-light-dark-light-dark bands; Fig. 2H,H′) characteristic of gap junctions under these staining conditions (Peters, 1962; Nagy et al., 2018). An electrical synapse density is often observed within the cytoplasm beneath the membrane at sites of gap junctions and can be symmetric or asymmetric, usually correlating with symmetry/asymmetry of participating cell classes and believed to arise as a consequence of differences in molecular composition of the connexons formed by each cell class (Strettoi et al., 1992; Anderson et al., 2011b; Pereda et al., 2013; Marc et al., 2014; Pereda, 2014; Marsh et al., 2017; Miller et al., 2017; Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017; Nagy and Lynn, 2018). In contrast, adherens junctions present as feathery membrane densities of variable thickness and symmetry, but with a distinct extracellular space between the 2 cells that is wider, more uniform, and more electron-dense than surrounding nonjunctional extracellular space (Fig. 2G,H′). Often, gap junctions are flanked by adherens junctions (Fig. 2H) (Nagy and Lynn, 2018). For the purposes of this paper, all densities with oblique native orientations between CBCs and Aii cells or other CBCs were annotated as gap junction candidates until reimagining proved otherwise. Of the 1339 gap junction instances described in this report, 484 are confirmed, 429 (32%) without the need for reimagining. Between this and our previous studies, 41 of 160 candidate RC1 gap junctions have been refuted (12 remain inconclusive due to insufficient tilt capacity).

Prominence of gap junctions in ON CBC circuitry within the IPL

To assess the contribution of gap junctions to ON CBC axonal circuitry, we focused on a total of 37 cells, 5–7 per class, reconstructed to statistical completion. The CBbwf class remains an exception, as we have only identified 2 class members within RC1 due to their low frequency, and neither is completely contained within the volume due to their large size. We find that all rabbit ON CBCs form gap junctions, at least 20 per cell, with some cells forming upwards of 80 (Table 2). Thus, electrical synapses can constitute upward of 25% of an ON CBC’s total synaptic contacts within the IPL, similar to that observed for Aii cells (total gap junctions = 114 ± 11, 0.09 CV; % total synaptic contact = 24.2% ± 2.8%, 0.11 CV; n = 4 cells). For 4 of the 7 ON CBC classes, electrical synapses constitute at least 10% of their total synaptic contacts. Assuming these coupling sites are indeed gap junctions, at least one-fourth of its total potential synaptic output. Similarly, electrical synapses constitute nearly two-thirds of an Aii cell’s total potential synaptic output (64.0% ± 4.4%, 0.07 CV, n = 4), but only one-fourth of its total potential input (28.0% ± 2.9%, 0.10 CV, n = 4). In terms of a cell’s total potential electrical conductivity, gap junctions account for 4%–25% of the total channel-occupied membrane area in ON CBCs and 40%–42% in Aii cells. While class-specific differences exist in both the frequency of gap junctions and their overall contribution to a cell’s synaptic contacts, it is clear that electrical synapses are a prevalent form of synaptic communication and can represent a significant component of ON CBC circuitry within the IPL.

Coupling partnerships of ON CBCs

Before examining the specific coupling partnerships of ON CBC classes, we first wish to clarify terminology regarding modes of coupling. Here, we define heterocellular coupling as that between cells of different superfamilies. In the retina, these are the categories of photoreceptors, horizontal cells, bipolar cells, ACs, and GCs. Homocellular coupling occurs between cells of the same superclass. Historically, heterologous/homologous has been used in place of heterocellular/homocellular, but these terms evoke evolutionary considerations. This is also distinct from the uses of heteromeric/homomeric to describe the connexin protein composition of individual hexameric connexons and of heterotypic/homotypic to describe the composition of the 2 connexons comprising a gap junction channel. Homocellular coupling requires further distinctions. Cross-class homocellular coupling refers to coupling between 2 cells of the same superclass, but different class (e.g., a CBb3n cell coupling with CBb3 cell). In-class involves coupling between pairs of cells from the same class (e.g., CBb3n cell coupling with a neighbor CBb3n cell). Lastly, self gap junctions, formed between processes originating from the same neuron, often called “sister” branches, are a specific type of in-class coupling (e.g., gap junction between 2 branches of CBb5 593). For ON CBCs in RC1, the sister branch often originates locally or is an extension of an adjacent varicosity. Such self gap junctions are worth differentiating as these may have limited contribution to the lateral spread of signals between cells, and many neurons have been shown to distinguish processes arising from sister branches versus another cell of the same class and to initiate divergent growth behaviors accordingly, which underlie tiling and self-avoidance (Cameron and Raö, 2010; Grueber and Sagasti, 2010; Jan and Jan, 2010; Lefebvre, 2017; Mountoufaris et al., 2018).

Evaluation of ON CBC coupling partnerships in RC1 revealed 2 critical points. First, noncanonical partnerships dominate ON CBC coupling in the IPL. Of the 1339 gap junctions involving at least 1 of the 37 ON CBCs previously described, only 30% are the canonical heterocellular gap junctions with Aii cells responsible for transmission of scotopic signals through the mammalian rod pathway (Kolb and Famiglietti, 1974; McGuire et al., 1984; Strettoi et al., 1992; Anderson et al., 2011b; Marc et al., 2014). The other 70% mediate homocellular coupling between ON CBCs (62%) and heterocellular coupling with other noncanonical AC partners (8%). At the individual class level, homocellular coupling dominates for 4 of the 7 ON CBC classes, with a fifth class exhibiting a roughly equal distribution (Fig. 3A). Detailed examination reveals that cells of each ON CBC class form coupling partnerships according to specific rulesets: a unique combination of partners and/or frequencies (Fig. 3B; Fig. 3-1). This underscores the second point: that ON CBC coupling
partnerships are class-specific. In the following sections, we expand on these partnerships in turn.

Canonical heterocellular coupling with Aii cells

Coupling with Aii cells has been presented as a hallmark of mammalian ON CBC identity, despite reports to the contrary in various species (cat: Cohen and Sterling, 1990; rabbit: Petrides and Trexler, 2008; mouse: Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017). Indeed, most rabbit ON CBCs in RC1 form gap junctions with Aii cells (Fig. 4A–C). However, we also confirm that some rabbit ON CBCs lack direct coupling with Aii cells (Fig. 3B, detailed in Fig. 3–1). Cells of the CBb3 class appear to never form gap junctions with Aii cells. This is not due to a lack of opportunity. We have identified 6 validated contacts between CBb3 axonal processes and the arboreal dendrites of Aii cells. Recaptures of these sites confirm the absence of gap junctions at all 6 (Fig. 4E–H). Indeed, 2 of these contacts lacked any membrane density, whereas adherens junctions (n = 2) or bipolar conventional synapses (n = 2) were identified at the other 4. Both the CBb6 (previously CBb7 class) (Marc et al., 2014) and CBbwf cells are also sparsely presynaptic to Aii cells via ribbon synapses. CBb3 cells also lack the canonical inhibition from Aii lobules characteristic of OFF CBCs, clearly stratify below these Aii lobules within the ON sublamina (Fig. 4F), and fully co-stratify (Fig. 4G) and couple with (Fig. 4H) other Aii-coupled ON CBC classes (Fig. 4I), supporting their classification within the ON CBC superclass. Three of the 6 fully reconstructed CBb4 cells are also devoid of gap junctions with Aii cells and the 3 that do form gap junctions with Aii cells do so...
The processes of xACs present with medium-to-dark electron-dense cytoplasm, irregular lobular varicosities, and/or very thin, tortuous extensions. Reconstruction of 3 of these (partial reconstruction of several more) suggest at least 2 separate classes of small-diameter (50–100 μm), diffusely stratified arbors with somas containing high levels of glycine, consistent with narrow-field glycinergic ACs (Fig. 4K–N). However, none of these resembles the characteristic morphology of the narrow-field glycinergic A8 AC shown to couple with ON CBCs in mouse (Kolb and Nelson, 1996; Lee et al., 2015; Yadav et al., 2019), despite identification of a homologous A8 cell in rabbit (DAPI–Vaney, 1990; Bloomfield, 1992; Wright et al., 1997; or flat bistratified: MacNeil and Masland, 1998; MacNeil et al., 1999). Moreover, these reconstructed xACs are also inconsistent with the non-GABAergic non-glycinergic Gbx2+ ACs recently reported to couple with presumed ON CBCs in stratum 3 and/or 5 of mouse retina (Kerstein et al., 2019). The coupling profiles of these xACs also support at least 2 classes: one that couples with at least CBb3 and CBb4 cells (CBb3n are also candidate partners, but no gap junctions have been confirmed) and a second that may couple exclusively with CBb5 cells. Further classification of these xACs and detailing of their connectome are beyond the scope of this manuscript but will be the subject of a future publication.

In-class homocellular coupling among ON CBCs

Coupling among neighboring cells of the same class is a common motif within the retina (Völgyi et al., 2013), although a rarer occurrence among mammalian bipolar cells (Raviola and Gilula, 1975; Kolb, 1979; Cohen and Sterling, 1990; Luo et al., 1999; Mills, 1999; Dacey et al., 2000; Dacey et al., 2000; Cohen and Sterling, 1990; Jacoby and Marshak, 2000; Arai et al., 2010; Kántor et al., 2016, 2017; Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017) than those of lower vertebrates (Marc et al., 1988; Umino et al., 1994; Arai et al., 2010). Here, we find that 5 of the 7 rabbit ON CBC classes form in-class gap junctions (Fig. 3B; detailed in Fig. 3–1). Overall, in-class gap junctions tend to be less frequent than other coupling modes, but this is not surprising given the limited contact opportunities afforded by tiling among neighboring cells. Both CBb5 and CBb4w classes exhibit the highest frequency of gap junctions mediating in-class partnerships, and both have high coverage factors (CF = 1.25 and CF = 0.92, respectively; Table 1) and nearly perfectly tile the retinal plane (Fig. 1D). Recapture of all candidate in-class contacts for a CBb5 cell reveals a single gap junction at virtually every contact with each of its 5 immediate CBb5 neighbors (n = 8 of 9 contacts; 1 of 9 inconclusive; Fig. 5A–I). Likewise, for 2 of the 6 completed CBb4w cells, all in-class contacts with neighbors contain confirmed gap junctions, whereas the remaining 4 cells have over 80% of their in-class gap junctions with neighbors confirmed

**Noncanonical heterocellular coupling with unclassified ACs**

Approximately 8% of the 1339 gap junctions formed by the 37 ON CBCs detailed in this study mediate coupling with AC targets lacking the classical morphology and synaptology of Aii lobules (Fig. 4K–Q), referred to hereafter as xACs. To date, we have confirmed gap junctions between xACs and only 3 ON CBC classes (CBb3, CBb4, and CBb5) (Fig. 3B; detailed in Fig. 3–1). CBb3 cells are the primary partner, forming gap junctions with xACs nearly twice as often as any other ON CBC class (8.4 ± 2.2 gap junctions with xACs/CBb3 cell; CV = 0.26; n = 5 CBb3 cells). Interestingly, xACs provide the sole source of heterocellular coupling in which the CBb3 class participates. Similarly, CBb4 cells, many of which lack coupling with Aii cells, also form gap junctions with xACs (4.7 ± 3.4 gap junctions with xACs/CBb4 cell; CV = 0.73; n = 6 CBb4 cells; Fig. 4K–M). Thus, as a consequence of this xAC coupling, all ON CBC classes participate in heterocellular coupling motifs. CBb5 cells were also observed to form gap junctions with xACs (Fig. 4N–Q), making them the only class of ON CBCs that participate in both canonical and noncanonical heterocellular coupling.

![Graphical summaries of ON CBC coupling. A. Proportion of homocellular versus heterocellular gap junctions by class based on frequency counts. B. Coupling profiles of ON CBC classes. The matrix reports the mean number of gap junctions formed by a given ON CBC class (row) with each partner class (column), as assessed from the core set of 37 ON CBCs in RC1 in this paper. The matrix is therefore asymmetrical, as classes differ in arbors diameter, coverage factors, etc. Values are encoded by the linear heat map scale below. *Class pairings where coupling has not been confirmed.](Image)
Figure 4. Heterocellular coupling topologies. A-D, Canonical heterocellular coupling between ON CBCs and Aii cells. A, Contact sites between CBb3n 5279 (orange) and Aii 514 (light blue), including 2 canonical heterocellular gap junctions (dark blue; arrowheads). B, Vertical view of the region between the dotted lines in A. C, Gap junction 6171 (arrowhead 'C' in B) between CBb3n 5279 axonal terminal (orange) and Aii 514 arboreal dendrite (light blue). C', Enlarged view of boxed region in C. D, TEM showing membrane contact (arrow 'D' in B) between the descending axon of CBb3n 5279 and lobule of Aii 514. The Aii lobule forms a conventional presynapse (between arrowheads) onto a passing OFF CBC (CBa) process. D', Enlarged view of boxed
Close-class homocellular coupling among ON CBCs

Cross-class coupling among ON CBCs is extensive, accounting for 78% of the homocellular motifs. Every class of ON CBCs participates in cross-class homocellular coupling with at least 1 and up to 5 other classes, although typically dominated by a single cross-class partner (Fig. 3B; detailed in Fig. 3-1). Consistent with all reported cross-class coupling motifs in other mammalian species (Raviola and Gilula, 1975; Kolb, 1979; Cohen and Sterling, 1990; Luo et al., 1999; Mills, 1999; Dacey et al., 2000; Jacoby and Marshall, 2000; Araï et al., 2010; Käntor et al., 2016; Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017), cross-class coupling motifs of ON CBCs in RC1 respect ON/OFF functional segregation. Coupling between ON CBCs and OFF CBCs was never observed. Importantly, both the CBb3 and CBb4 classes, which exhibit few to zero canonical heterocellular gap junctions with Aii cells, routinely form a large number of cross-class gap junctions with other classes that do participate in this classic ON CBC coupling motif, further supporting the classification of the CBb3 and CBb4 classes within the ON superclass.

Cross-class coupling opportunities are afforded by the costratification of ON CBC axonal arborizations within the rabbit IPL (Fig. 7A). The extensive costratification of the CBb3/3n/4/4w classes within strata 3 and 4 is reflected in their coupling partnerships. All 4 classes couple with each other (Fig. 7B–K), with the possible exception of the CBb3 and CBb4w classes with each other (Fig. 7LM). Coupling frequencies among the other class pairings are variable, ranging from averaging a few gap junctions per cell to over 30 per cell (Fig. 3B), and suggests that these classes preferentially select some classes over others as coupling partners. In contrast, the more deeply stratifying CBb5/wf classes in strata 4 and 5 infrequently form gap junctions with each other, despite also exhibiting significant costratification. For example, the CBb5 and CBb6 arbors theoretically overlap by ~75%, yet CBb5 cells average 0.3 gap junctions with the CBb6 class (~0.5 gap junctions; 1.55 CV; n = 6 CBb5 cells) and CBb6 cells average 0.8 gap junctions with the CBb5 class (~0.8 gap junctions; 1.05 CV; n = 5 CBb6 cells). Recapture of 3 instances

Region in D, E, I, CBb3 rejects canonical heterocellular coupling with Aii cells, but couples with the Aii-coupled CBb3n class. F, F, CBb3 5641 (maroon) exhibits territory overlap (F) and costratification (F) below the lobular dendrites of Aii 7113 (light blue) and fully costratifies with CBb6 5598 (orange). F, Horizontal dotted line indicates the ON/OFF boundary of the IPL. F, Enlarged view of the boxed region in F (arrow in E). G, Single TEM image showing bipolar conventional synapse 124055 from CBb3 5641 onto Aii 7113; cross-class homocellular gap junction 114999 between CBb3 5641 and CBb3n 5598, and canonical heterocellular gap junction 115004 between CBb3n 5598 and Aii 7113. H, I, Reimaging of boxed regions in G at 0.27 mm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. Synaptic vesicle (arrowhead, H), direction of signaling (arrow, H), and gap junction boundaries (bracket, I) indicated. J, J, Enlarged view of boxed region in G on section 264 (J) and adjacent section 254 (J). K–Q, Noncanonical coupling between ON CBCs and xACs. K, Two of 5 noncanonical gap junctions (mint; arrow and arrowhead in K) between CBb4 5955 (lime) and xAC 7576 (teal) (verify). K, Enlarged view of boxed region in K. L, TEM image of gap junction 34868 (arrow in K). K, Reimaging of boxed region in E at 0.27 mm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. M, Noncanonical heterocellular gap junctions (mint, arrows in $M, M'$) between xAC 7221 (teal) and 2 CBb5 cells, 5536 (cyan), and 15977 (powder blue). M, M', M'', Enlarged views of boxed regions in the M. N, TEM image of gap junction 116945 (arrow in M') between a branch of CBb5 5536 in the OFF sublamina and small extension off the soma of xAC 7221. N', Reimaging of boxed region in N at 0.27 mm resolution with goniometric tilt. Small region of pentagonalum structure visible (arrowhead). Tilt reveals full extent (bracket). O, TEM image of gap junction 116987 (arrow in M') between CBb5 15977 and xAC 7221 in the ON sublamina. O', Enlarged view of boxed region in O. Gap junctions scaled by a factor of 2 (A, B, or 4 (K, K, M, M', M'') for visualization. Scale bars: A, E, F, K, M, 10 mm; B, 5 mm; D, G, L, 1 mm; E, D', N, 500 mm; C, H, J, J', L', H, O', 100 mm, bcs, Bipolar conventional presynapse; cs, conventional presynapse; gj, gap junction; pd, postynaptic density.
Figure 5.  In-class homocellular coupling topologies. A-I, CBb5 in-class coupling. A, Horizontal view showing the locations of 8 in-class gap junctions (yellow) between cell 593 (cyan) and its immediate CBb5 neighbors, cells 166 (forest green), 6115 (powder blue), 5649 (teal), 5562 (powder blue), and 6997 (forest green). One gap junction (7267, white circle) remains inconclusive, even at maximum tilt (65°). B-I, TEM images for regions indicated in A (white boxes). CBb5 593 forms gap junctions 60046 (E) and 60010 (F) with cell 166, 55890 (G) with cell 6115, 53818 (H) with cell 5649, 49434 (J) and 79658 (L) with cell 5562, and 52441 (K) and 59747 (Q) with cell 6997. B'-I', B-I, Reimaging of boxed regions at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. Brackets notch gap junction boundaries based on tilt series. J, K, In-class coupling between neighboring CBb3n cells. J, Five in-class gap junctions (yellow, arrow and arrowheads) between CBb3n cells 5284 (brown) and 6050 (tan). J', K', Enlarged and rotated view of circled region in J. Arrowheads in J' indicate different gap junctions than in J. K, TEM image of gap junction 57071 (arrow in J'). K', Reimaging of boxed region in K at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt confirms gap junction identity. L-O, Aii coupling bridging CBb3n in-class coupling. L, Some branches of neighboring CBb3n cells 6118 (tan) and 6120 (orange) terminate in tip-to-tip topology with in-class gap junctions (yellow, arrowheads), whereas others terminate without contact, forming gap junctions with Aii cells (dark blue) at their tips instead (asterisks). Cross-class (magenta) and heterocellular xACs (mint) gap junctions also shown. Rare case of tiling violation (open arrowhead). L', Rotated view of the circled area in L rendered with coupling partner Aii 7904 (light blue). M, TEM image showing gap junction 111592 (K) between CBb3n 6120 and Aii 7904 directly opposite gap junction 119474 (Q) between Aii 7904 and CBb3n 6118. M, Reimaging of boxed region in M at 0.27 nm resolution with goniometric tilt. O, Enlarged view of boxed region in M. P, Q, CBb3 in-class coupling. P, Two in-class gap junctions (yellow) formed at a single contact site between neighboring CBb3n cells 909 (maroon) and 5297 (peach). P', Enlarged and rotated view of encircled region in P. Q, TEM image of gap junction 123062 (arrow in P'). Q', Reimaging of boxed region in Q at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. Gap junctions scaled by a factor of 6 (A), 4 (J,P,P'), or 2 (V,J,L') for visibility. Scale bars: A, I, P, 10 µm; L', 5 µm; Q, 1 µm; B-I, K-M, 500 nm; B'-I', K', Q, Q', 100 nm. gj, Gap junction.
revealed gap junctions at only 2 of these sites (Fig. 8A,B), suggesting that not all sites of membrane contact contain gap junctions. CBb6 cross-class coupling appears restricted to the CBb5 class, despite costratification with the majority of the CBbwf arbor and 5 validated contacts (Fig. 8C,D). CBbwf arbors also overlap with CBb5 arbors, albeit to a lesser extent than with CBb6, yet exhibit rare instances of coupling (Fig. 8E,F).

Although the CBb5 class shares ~50% arbor overlap with the CBb3, CBb3n, CBb4, and CBbwf classes, formation of gap junctions has only been confirmed with CBb3n cells, and at only 1 of 4 validated contacts (Fig. 8G–J). A single instance of CBb3n coupling with CBb6 was also found (Fig. 8K,L), suggesting that the CBb3n class may be fairly indiscriminate toward coupling partners. Together, these data demonstrate that all ON CBC classes participate in cross-class coupling according to clear class-specific rulesets. However, not all class pairings couple, even when opportunity is afforded by the laminar organization of the retinal IPL, further demonstrating the specificity of these connections.

Geometric and anatomic opportunities only partially define ON CBC specificity

In the previous section, we detailed the rulesets of ON CBC coupling in the rabbit retina, revealing class-specific differences both in partner choice and frequency. We next sought to examine what confers this specificity. A common strategy for synaptic
Figure 7. Cross-class homocellular coupling topologies of CBb3n/3/4w. A. Extensive costratification of ON CBC axonal arborizations. B, C. Extensive CBb3n coupling with CBb3. B. Twelve of the 22 cross-class gap junctions (magenta; arrow and arrowheads in B,B') between CBb3n 176 (orange) and CBb3 5531 (maroon) are visible. B', Enlarged view of boxed region in B. C, TEM image of gap junction 54567 (arrow in B'). C'. Reimaging of boxed region in C at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. D, E. Frequent CBb4w coupling with CBb4. D. Six of the 10 cross-class gap junctions (magenta; arrow, arrowheads in D,D') between CBb4w 6117 (green) and CBb4 5292 (lime) are visible. D', Enlarged view of boxed region in D. E, TEM image showing gap junction 51346 (arrow in D'). E', Enlarged view of boxed region in E at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. F, G. Frequent CBb3n coupling with CBb4. F. Two of 3 cross-class gap junctions (magenta; arrow, arrowheads in F,F') between CBb4 595 (lime) and CBb3n 176 (orange) are visible. F', Enlarged view of boxed region in F. G, TEM image showing gap junction 46663 (arrow in F'). G'. Reimaging of boxed region in G at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. H, I. CBb4w coupling with CBb3n. H. One of 2 cross-class gap junctions (magenta, arrow in H') between CBb4w 6117 (green) and CBb3n 176 (orange). H', Enlarged view of boxed region in H. I, TEM image showing gap junction 50716 (arrow in H'). I', Reimaging of boxed region in H at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. J, K. Sparse CBb4 coupling with CBb3. J. Single cross-class gap junction (magenta, arrow in J') between CBb4 595 (lime) and CBb3 5531 (maroon). J', Enlarged view of boxed region in J. K, TEM image showing gap junction 91490 (arrow in J'). K', Reimaging of boxed region in K at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. L, M. Rejection of coupling between CBb3 and CBb4w. L, Costratification of CBb4w 5530 (green) and CBb3 5531 (maroon) axonal arbor. L', Enlarged view of boxed region showing position of adherens junction 81621 (tan; arrow). M, TEM image showing a strong density at the location of contact indicated by the arrow in L'. M', Reimaging of boxed region in M at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt reveals an adherens junction with strong asymmetric densities, but no gap junction. Gap junctions scaled by a factor of 4 (B,B',D,D',F,F',H,H',J).
Precision in chemical synapses is the sequential constraint of potential targets, turning connection availability on and off over time (Yoge and Shen, 2014). Initially, developmental processes regulating cell fate determination, cell migration, and the growth and branching of neuronal arbors restrict the pool of potential

Figure 8. Cross-class homocellular coupling topologies of CBb5/6/wf. A, B, Rare CBb5 coupling with CBb6. A, Single cross-class gap junction (magenta; arrow in inset) between CBb6 5515 (purple) and CBb5 5623 (cyan). Inset, Enlarged view of boxed region. B, TEM image of gap junction 119263 (arrow in A). B', Reimaging of boxed region in B at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. C, D, Rejection of coupling between CBb6 and CBbwf. C, D, Contraction and overlapping arbors afford 2 sites of membrane contact, but only a single adherens junction (tan; arrow in inset) between CBb6 6997 (cyan) and CBbwf 6589 (gray). Inset, Enlarged view of boxed region. D', TEM image of adherens junction 130564 (arrow in C). D', Reimaging of boxed region in D at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. E, F, Rare CBb5 coupling with CBbwf. E, Single cross-class gap junction (magenta; arrow in inset) between CBbwf 62983 (gray) and CBb5 5515 (cyan). Inset, Enlarged view of boxed region. F', TEM image of gap junction 111023 (arrow in E). F', Reimaging of boxed region in F at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. G, H, Rare CBb5 coupling with CBbwf. G, Single cross-class gap junction (magenta; arrow in inset) between CBbwf 6589 (gray) and CBbwf 6589 (cyan). Inset, Enlarged view of boxed region. H, TEM image of gap junction 111023 (arrow in G). H', Reimaging of boxed region in H at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. I, J, Rejection of coupling between CBb5 and CBbwf. I, Presence of an adherens junction (tan; arrow in inset) between CBb5 6997 (cyan) and CBbwf 6589 (gray). Inset, Enlarged view of boxed region. J, TEM image of adherens junction 101900 (arrow in I). J', Reimaging of boxed region in J at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. K, L, Rare CBb6 coupling with CBbwf. K, Single cross-class gap junction (magenta; arrow in K') between CBbwf 5284 (orange) and CBbwf 16026 (purple). K', Enlarged view of boxed region in K. Gap junction scaled by a factor of 4 for visualization. L, TEM image of gap junction 113383 (arrow in K'). L', Reimaging of boxed region in L at 0.27 nm/pixel resolution with goniometric tilt. Scale bars: A, C, E, G, I, 10 μm; B, D, H, J, F, L, 500 nm; B', D', F', H', J', L', 100 nm; a, Adherens junction; gj, gap junction; RBC, rod bipolar cell.
targets based on geometric and anatomic opportunity, which are later refined through the addition or removal of synapses at contact sites in response to molecular discrimination of partners and/or neural activity. Indeed, the restriction of geometric opportunity by cell spacing and tiling appears to account for much of the specificity observed for in-class coupling patterns. However, the specific rejection of in-class coupling by CBb6 cells, despite opportunity, demonstrates that additional molecular or activity-dependent mechanisms are involved.

Figure 9. Geometry accounts for the variation in, but not specificity of, ON CBC coupling. 

A. Calculating the 2D (XY) intersection of the convex hulls for each CBb4 cell with that of each member of the CBb3 class. Left, Convex hulls of CBb4 cells. Right, Convex hulls of CBb3 cells. Middle, The portion of each CBb4 cell's convex hull that intersects in XY with the convex hull of a CBb3 cell. B. Comparisons of coupling frequency as a function of the degree of axonal field intersection between cell pairings (computed in A) for each class. Each point represents a single calculated area of intersection between 2 cells, regardless of the presence/absence of coupling. Thus, many points have an intersection area > 0, but no gap junctions. Since each point represents a single cell-cell pairing (e.g., CBb4 4877 with CBb4w 5601), every cell contributes multiple points due to multiple pairings. Note the different x axis scaling used for the CBb3 and CBb3n graphs. Data were fitted to a linear model with y intercept of zero and the adjusted $R^2$ values reported adjacent to each model. Significance ($p$) values from the post hoc Scheffé test are presented in the corresponding matrix for each class' graph with the difference (D) statistic in parentheses below. Significance ($p < 0.05$) is highlighted in yellow. ***Instances where the R software environment computed $p > 2.2E - 16$. 
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Costratification of source and target arbor at specific depths of the IPL is often cited as a driving factor for synaptic precision within the retina. However, the observed stratification patterns in RC1 likely only limits connection availability between CBb6 and CBb6 w classes with the shallowly stratified CBb3/3n/4/4w classes and cannot account for the divergence in coupling frequencies among the fully costratifying CBb3/3n/4/4w classes or CBb5/5w/6/6f classes. To further assess the coupling specificity of cross-class homocellular and heterocellular modes, we examined the frequency of coupling as a function of geometric opportunity afforded by the degree of planar overlap in axonal territory between pairs of cells (the intersection area illustrated in Fig. 9A). Importantly, all class pairings exhibited a broad range of intersection areas, even when cell spacing produced large gaps in the tile. Many class pairings exhibited a trend of increased coupling with increased intersection area (Fig. 9B), demonstrating that these coupling motifs are often generated according to specific patterns. By extension, this also suggests that the variability in coupling frequencies observed among individual cells of the same class (Fig. 3-1) may in part be due to variability in arbor size or distribution within the mosaic or tile. In support of the former, CBb4 595 forms 34 gap junctions with the CBb4w class, whereas CBb4 5292 only forms 19. This 56% reduction in coupling frequency can largely be explained by the fact that CBb4 5292 has ~50% of the field area as CBb4 595. More importantly, the rate at which coupling frequency increases with intersection area often differs among the different partners of a given ON CBC class, demonstrating that ON CBC classes can have unique patterns with each of their partners, even within coupling modes. Arbor branching patterns differ among the various partner classes and can influence anatomic encounter rates that would in turn contribute to the specific patterns of coupling. While this is difficult to quantify, qualitative evaluation suggests that, for at least some class pairings, anatomic encounter rates cannot fully account for the observed specificity. For example, the CBb3n coupling partners, CBb4 and CBb4w, exhibit nearly identical stratification features, but CBb4 cells have fewer branch terminals and an overall lower branch path complexity, suggesting a lower potential anatomic encounter rate than CBb4w cells with CBb3n. Yet, CBb3n cells are 6 times as likely to couple with the CBb4 class compared with the CBb4w class. Thus, while the contributions of molecular discrimination and activity-dependent refinement cannot be evaluated here, neither geometric opportunity nor anatomic encounter rates are sufficient to fully account for the observed coupling specificity of ON CBC classes, arguing that these other mechanisms are likely involved.

**Size specificity**

ON CBCs form gap junctions varying in plaque area over 200-fold (minimum = 0.0022 μm²; maximum = 0.46 μm²; mean ± SD = 0.041 ± 0.053 μm², 1.3 CV; n = 1339). The smallest validated gap junction formed by an ON CBC measures 43 nm in diameter and was present on a single section. Freeze-fracture analyses of connexon spacing within gap junction plaques in the IPL of rodent retinas (Raviola and Gilula, 1973, 1975; Reale et al., 1978; Kamasawa et al., 2006) suggest that this small gap junction corresponds to a plaque comprised of only 3 or 4 connexons across and 1-9 connexons deep (3-6 connexons in total). In contrast, the largest identified gap junction exhibits a maximum diameter of 680 nm and spans 11 sections (minimally 900 nm), corresponding to a plaque containing 2050-4600 connexons.

Parsing the size distribution by coupling mode reveals mode-dependent sizing rules. The median size of gap junction plaques formed by ON CBCs with Aii cells is 3 times greater than those formed with other ON CBCs (Aii partners: median = 0.061 μm², n = 404; ON CBC partners: median = 0.017 μm², n = 825; W = 277973, p < 2.2E-16, Wilcoxon rank-sum with continuity correction) and 7 times greater than those formed with xACs (median = 0.0084 μm², n = 110; W = 42 532, p < 2.2E-16, Wilcoxon rank-sum with continuity correction). Among homocellular gap junctions, those mediating in-class coupling are larger than their cross-class counterparts (in-class: median = 0.022 μm², n = 211; cross-class: median = 0.016 μm², n = 605; W = 72 205, p = 0.0045, Wilcoxon rank-sum with continuity correction). Individual ON CBC classes also exhibit differences in the size distributions for gap junctions mediating different coupling modes (Fig. 10A), suggesting that these sizing rules are common across all CBC classes. For several classes (CBb3, CBb4, CBb6, and possibly CBb6w), these mode-dependent sizing rules had little effect on a class’ relative distribution of gap junctions among its partners (Fig. 10B), possibly because these classes are dominated by either a single coupling partner or those of the same mode (e.g., cross-class homocellular partners only). The most striking change was for the CBb3n class, where homocellular partners accounted for 73% of the gap junctions formed by this class, but only 33% of the total gap junctional area. Likewise, Aii coupling accounts for a greater proportion of CBb5 and CBb4w gap junctions when measured as a function of gap junctional area rather than frequency, resulting in canonical heterocellular coupling dominating the CBb5 profile. Additionally, the relatively smaller plaque size of cross-class versus in-class gap junctions formed by the CBb4w class, combined with the larger representation by Aii gap junctions, completely reorders the representation of coupling modes within the CBb4w profile.

**Subcellular targeting**

Subcellular synaptic specificity is abundant in the laminar architecture of the mammalian retina. Synapses and partnerships of the Aii cell are highly compartmentalized. Gap junctions with ON CBCs are restricted to the waist and arboreal dendrites of Aii cells that are positioned within the ON sublamina (Marc et al., 2014). Consistent with this, gap junctions with Aii cells are never observed on the descending axon proximal to the bifurcation that initiates ON CBC terminal arborization (n = 404 gap junctions). Indeed, such coupling opportunities are specifically rejected between known coupling partners when contact occurs with inappropriate topology (n = 2 contacts; Fig. 4A–D). In addition, several ON CBCs (n = 8 cells: 1 CBb4w, 6 CBb5, and 1 CBb6) extend a single, often short process within the OFF sublamina, well above their...
Figure 10. Size distribution of gap junction plaques. A, Histograms comparing the frequency distributions of gap junction plaque size (area) by coupling mode for each ON CBC class. Vertical dashed lines indicate median values. Note the change in y-axis scaling for the CBb5, CBb6, and CBbwf class graphs. Adjusted significance (p) values (corrected for multiple comparisons) from the Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with continuity correction are presented in the corresponding matrix for each class' graph with the test statistic (W) in parentheses below; n (in-class, cross-class, xAC, Aii) = CBb3 (13, 183, 42, 0), CBb3n (32, 279, 11, 106), CBb4 (30, 297, 28, 7), CBb4w (68, 188, 0, 60), CBb5 (63, 8, 29, 79), CBb6 (5, 9, 0, 116), CBbwf (0, 9, 0, 36). Yellow shading

primary axonal arborization within the ON sublamina (e.g., CBb5 cell in Figs. 1A, 4M; several CBb5 and CBb6 cells in Fig. 8), which has also been observed in the cat retina (Cohen and Sterling, 1990). These branches participate in both input and output synapses but appear to not form gap junctions with Aii cells. However, since we have yet to identify a validated contact, it remains unclear whether these branches would specifically reject these as coupling sites.

The positioning of in-class gap junctions at tip-to-tip or tip-to-shaft topologies is striking (Fig. 5). At least one branch terminates in virtually every instance, creating near-perfect tiling for several ON CBC classes. Violation of tiling and tip-to-tip or tip-to-shaft topologies is rare, with only 3 instances of significant in-class branch overlap identified in RC1 (CBb5: \( n = 1 \); CBb3n: \( n = 2 \); Fig. 5L, open arrowhead). In 2 of the 3 cases, the offending branches share membrane contact and gap junction at the site of crossing; but in all 3 cases, these branches then gap junction and terminate on contact with another process from the same or another class. This observation prompted us to evaluate the potential for spatial rules to govern coupling specificity across ON CBC arbors. Gap junction formation occurs along the full central to peripheral extent of ON CBC arbors (Fig. 11). As expected, the distribution of in-class gap junctions tends to be shifted farther from the geometric center than other coupling modes. This is likely a consequence of the tip-to-tip or tip-to-shaft topologies of in-class gap junctions obviating the opportunity of an individual branch to form another gap junction after an in-class. The distribution of in-class gap junctions was occasionally more central than the vertices of the convex hull itself, but this likely reflects the poor approximation of ON CBC axonal territories by convex hulls (Marc et al., 2014; Lauritzen et al., 2019). Star domains provide better approximations but are difficult to assess mathematically. Interestingly, the distributions of gap junctions mediating canonical heterocellular coupling with Aii cells were shifted more centrally than those mediating in-class coupling for the CBb4w and CBb5 classes, but not for the CBb3n class, consistent with the previously noted tendency for Aii processes to be positioned between branches of in-class neighbors. Additionally, in the absence of in-class coupling, the distribution of gap junctions mediating Aii coupling appeared to occur with a higher frequency toward the hull vertices. Together, these findings support at least a spatial rule for the positioning of in-class gap junctions, although whether their positioning at CBC axonal boundaries is a consequence of, or provides direction for, axonal tiling remains unclear.

**Glycine as a marker of ON CBCs**

An important feature of gap junctional coupling is the diffusion of small molecules. Indeed, accumulation of the neurotransmitter glycine in ON CBCs is dependent on heterocellular coupling with glycnergic ACs (Vaney et al., 1998), and glycine is commonly used as a marker to distinguish ON CBCs from OFF CBCs and rod bipolar cells (Cohen and Sterling, 1986; Yang and Yazulla, 1988; Marc et al., 1995, 2007; Vaney et al., 1998; Havercamp and Wässle, 2000; Deans et al., 2002; compare Petrides and Trexler, 2008; Jones et al., 2011, 2016; Lauritzen et al., 2013, 2019). The Aii cell has long been considered the source of this glycine signal. Evidence for glycine-negative and/or Aii-noncoupled populations of rabbit ON CBCs prompted the suggestion that only directly Aii-coupled ON CBCs accumulate glycine (Petrides and Trexler, 2008). Here, we demonstrate that the rabbit CBb3 and CBb4 classes virtually lack gap junctions with Aii cells, but we also reveal that both classes have 2 alternative routes for glycine diffusion: (1) through direct coupling with glycnergic ACs other than the Aii cell (e.g., glycnergic xACs; Fig. 4K–O), or (2) through indirect coupling with Aii cells via gap junctions formed with other ON CBC classes (Fig. 3B; Fig. 3–1). We therefore wondered whether CBb3 and CBb4 cells would exhibit glycine accumulation. The RC1 dataset uniquely allows quantification of glycine and other small-molecule signals in the same cells for which connectivity is mapped (Fig. 12A,A’). We observed robust glycine signals in the somas of cells from all 7 ON CBC classes, including both the CBb5 and CBb4 classes (Fig. 12B). Indeed, of all 99 evaluated ON CBC somas, which included 20 CBb3 and 11 CBb4 cells, only 1 cell did not contain glycine at levels above at least 1 SD from the mean observed for OFF CBCs. Coupling of this cell (CBb4 364) with an Aii or other glycnergic AC has not been detected, but annotation remains incomplete. The stratification of CBb4 364 and frequent coupling with cells of the CBb4w and CBb3n classes, however, argues that it is not a misclassified OFF CBC or rod bipolar cell and that its classification as a CBb4 is robust. Although the connexin composition and modulation of these gap junctions remain ambiguous, it is clear that at least one of these routes is functional and sufficient to allow accumulation of glycine, consistent with previous discoveries in mice of functional coupling between ON CBCs and other non-Aii ACs (Farrow et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015; Kerstein et al., 2019).

**ON CBC coupling in retinal networks**

In the previous sections, we have detailed the class-specific coupling patterns of rabbit ON CBCs. We next sought to place these findings in the context of the larger retinal networks, particularly on the distribution of scotopic signals and the potential mixing of parallel processing streams before input to GCs.

**Distribution of rod signals through the Aii cell**

Previously, we reported a large-scale connectivity and partnership mapping of Aii cells, including their collection of rod-generated scotopic signals from rod bipolar cell terminals (Marc et al., 2014). However, we did not detail Aii cell output to CBCs with class specificity. Our discovery of class-specific coupling patterns between ON CBCs and Aii cells, together with anatomic and physiological reports of differentially weighted Aii output in other species (cat: McGuire et al., 1984; Cohen and Sterling, 1990; rat: Veruki and Hartveit, 2002a; mouse: Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017), prompted a reexamination of the potential signal flow through the scotopic network in the rabbit retina.

The distribution of Aii output to the various ON CBC classes in RC1 was assessed by reanalyzing the coupling partnerships of Aii cells 476, 514, 2610, and 3679 from our previous study (Marc et al., 2014). Each Aii cell forms an average of 114 ± 11 gap junctions (0.12 CV; \( n = 4 \) Aii cells). Over 53% of these gap junctions mediate homocellular coupling among Aii cells and therefore dominate the coupling profile (Fig. 13A). This homocellular coupling was found to occur both between neighboring Aii cells and between sister branches of the same Aii cell (Fig. 3–1). Although Aii lobular dendrites tile the OFF sublamina, their arboreal dendrites within the ON sublamina extensively overlap, such that any point may be sampled by up to 4 separate Aii cells (Marc et al., 2014). This affords numerous in-class contact opportunities.
for homocellular coupling among the arboreal dendrites; and accordingly, these gap junctions are typically not found among the lobular dendrites. Heterocellular coupling with ON CBCs was dominated by 3 classes, together representing over 86% of the heterocellular gap junctions (36% by CBb6, 32% by CBb3n, and 19% by CBb5). Although the distributions of plaque sizes diverge across the coupled partners, including between different classes of ON CBCs (Fig. 13B), these same 3 ON CBC classes

Figure 11. Spatial distribution of gap junctions across ON CBC axonal arbors. A, Spatial distribution of gap junctions across a representative ON CBC arbor, color-coded by coupling mode: heterocellular with Aii (dark blue) or xACs (mint, none), homocellular in-class (yellow), self (orange), or cross-class (magenta). All gap junctions scaled by a factor of 4 for visualization. B, Histograms comparing the frequency distributions of gap junction distance by coupling mode for each ON CBC class. Distance is calculated in the XY plane from the geometric center of the parent cell’s convex hull. The distribution of distances for the vertices forming the convex hull (CHV) for the parent cells is included for comparison as an approximation of the arbor boundaries. Vertical dashed lines indicate median values. Note the change in y axis scaling for the CBb5 and CBb6 class graphs. The CBbwf class is not shown due to the incompleteness of the representative cells’ arbors. Adjusted significance (p) values from the Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with continuity correction are presented in the corresponding matrix for each class’ graph with the test statistic (W) in parentheses below; n (in-class, self, cross-class, xAC, CHV) = CBb3 (10, 6, 183, 42, 50, 0), CBb3n (34, 5, 279, 11, 66, 106), CBb4 (4, 27, 297, 28, 49, 7), CBb4w (62, 27, 188, 0, 59, 60), CBb5 (68, 10, 8, 29, 62, 75), CBB6 (2, 4, 9, 0, 46, 116). Yellow shading represents significance (p < 0.05). ***Instances where the R software environment computed p < 2.2E − 16. C, Model illustrating the spatial distribution of gap junctions according to coupling mode across a generalized ON CBC arbor. Cross, Cross-class; in, in-class.
**Figure 12.** Glycine accumulation in ON CBCs. A, TEM image from Viking of section 30 of the RC1 volume displaying the somas of 7 ON CBCs (circles) and one Aii (pentagon). A', Section 30 glycine channel showing intermediate glycine signals in the somas of all ON CBCs (circles) and the Aii (pentagon), including members of the CBb3 and CBb4 classes (*). B, Plot quantifying the glycine signals recorded for the somas of ON CBCs. Glycine signals are reported as the average mean pixel value (0-255) ± SD; n = 17 (Cba, CBb5), 20 (CBb3), 34 (CBb3n), 11 (CBb4), 10 (CBb4w), 6 (CBb6), 1 (CBbwf), 105 (all CBb). *Significance \( t_{(18)} = 19.08, p < 2E - 16, \) Welch two-sample t test, two-tailed. Scale bars: A, 20 µm.

accounted for almost 90% of the total heterocellular gap junction area (Fig. 13C). However, the relative representation by these 3 classes shifted, such that the CBb3n class accounts for over half of the heterocellular area, rather than more equal representations by the CBb3n and CBb6 classes when based on gap junction frequency alone (Fig. 13C). No gap junctions were found between any of these Aii cells and the CBb3 class, and only one candidate has been identified with the CBb4 class (Fig. 13A; detailed in Fig. 3-1). Importantly, the identity of all but 3 coupled partners was determined. Of these, only one could be identified as a bipolar cell, but all were found deep within the IPL, making it unlikely that any of these partners belong to the CBb3 or CBb4 class.

The Aii cell is also a component of all but one known motif predicted to mediate rod-driven cross-suppression of photopic signals (Lauritzen et al., 2019). In motifs R1, R2, and R25, the activation of Aii-coupled ON CBCs drives narrow and wide-field ACs to directly suppress ON CBCs. While the preference of rod inhibitory motifs for the suppression of particular ON CBC classes will require further evaluation, the preferential coupling of Aii cells with specific ON CBC classes, by either numerical counts or cumulative area metrics, suggests that the ON CBC targets of CBb3n, CBb6, and possibly CBb5-driven ACs should provide important insight.

**Potential mixing of ON CBC input to GCs**

Coupling between ON CBC classes provides numerous potential lateral paths for signal flow (Fig. 14A), yet individual CBC classes have long been thought to transmit separate parallel channels of visual information to GCs (Masland, 2001; Euler et al., 2014). While connexin composition and modulation of gating will undoubtedly regulate the strength and effect of these lateral paths, we sought to explore their potential impact on GC input. Exploration of this question required analysis beyond the direct coupling of the 37 ON CBCs described in this study and, rather, use of the entire annotated connectivity in the RC1 dataset. Graffinity was developed for rapid visualization and exploration of complex connectivity in large datasets (Kerzner et al., 2017). In an initial exploration of the potential mixing of ON CBC signals cross-class coupling may afford, we chose 3 distinct and well-annotated GCs in RC1. Each receives unique combinations of direct ON CBC drive (→) via ribbon- or bipolar conventional-type synapses (1-hop path: [CBbx → GC]; Fig. 14B). Expanding the path length to include direct coupling (;) between ON CBCs (2-hop path: [CBb → CBb → GC]) potentially alters the input profile of these GCs in 2 important ways: (1) the number of paths for each direct ON CBC input increased due to the recruitment of neighboring cells via in-class homocellular coupling, indicative of greater synaptic input; and, more importantly, (2) cross-class homocellular coupling provides potential paths for indirect signal flow from an additional 2 or 3 ON CBC classes, which likely contribute to the shaping of the output response of the GC’s direct ON CBC input class (Demb and Singer, 2016; Kuo et al., 2016). In the case of the transient ON directionally selective GC 606, which already directly samples broadly from the ON CBC classes, cross- and in-class coupling has the potential to alter the relative path contribution among the ON CBC classes. Further extension of the path length by additional hops eventually reveals paths from all ON CBC classes, as early as 3 hops for the selected GCs, especially as Aii and CBb3n hubs are incorporated through heterocellular and cross-class coupling, respectively.

**Discussion**

We report the participation of rabbit ON CBCs in extensive coupling, each forming 20-81 candidate gap junctions (5-25% total axonal synaptic contacts; ≤40% total IPL input/output). Reports in other species suggest ≤20 per cell (≤15% synaptic contact)
lated per Aii cell, whereas coupling profiles for individual Aii cells and extended class statistics are provided in the linear heat map scale below. *Pairing where coupling has not been confirmed. This is ON CBC classes and other Aii cells, as assessed from 4 Aii cells in RC1. Values are encoded by cell class. Each column reports the mean number of gap junctions formed by an Aii cell with

**Figure 13.** Differential distribution of Aii output to ON CBCs. A, Coupling profile of the Aii cell class. Each column reports the mean number of gap junctions formed by an Aii cell with ON CBC classes and other Aii cells, as assessed from 4 Aii cells in RC1. Values are encoded by the linear heat map scale below. *Pairing where coupling has not been confirmed. This is not the reciprocal of the Aii column presented in Figure 3, as the frequencies here are calculated per Aii cell, whereas Figure 3 calculates Aii coupling frequencies per ON CBC. Detailed coupling profiles for individual Aii cells and extended class statistics are provided in Figure 3-1. B, Partner class-dependent sizing rules for Aii cell gap junction plaques. Histogram comparing the frequency distributions of gap junction plaque size (area) by partner ON CBC class. Vertical dashed lines indicate median values. Adjusted significance (p) values from the Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with continuity correction are presented in the corresponding matrix with the test statistic (W) in parentheses below; n = 184 Aii, 66 CBb3n, 13 CBb4w, 39 CBb5, 66 CBb3, 22 CBb4, 13 CBb5, 13 CBb6, 22 CBb6, 17 CBbwf. Yellow shading represents significance (p < 0.05). C, The proportion of gap junctions with different partners based on numerical frequency or cumulative area. Area are mean ± SD.

(c: McGuire et al., 1984; Cohen and Sterling, 1990; mouse: Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017). Is rabbit the exception or do species truly differ in their extent of ON CBC coupling? Our approach has the highest base resolution, recapture ability with goniometric tilt, a large contiguous volume for high copy numbers, and a coherent toolset for network exploration, leaving the possibility that extensive coupling is the rule. However, preliminary findings in our comparable mouse volume support species-specific differences in retinal connectivity. More importantly, how do these models compare to human? Ultrastructure-based analysis of coupling motifs in humans or nonhuman primates is lacking, and we suggest that 63% of ON CBC gap junctions (77% homocellular; 98% xAC; 24% Aii) are unresolvable by traditional light or confocal microscopy. Nonetheless, CX36 colocalization suggests that homocellular gap junctions are prominent in human OFF CBC circuitry and possibly ON CBC circuitry as well (Kántor et al., 2017).

Within coupling modes, some features appear conserved whereas others are species-specific. Like cat (Cohen and Sterling, 1990) and mouse (Tsukamoto and Omi, 2017), rabbit ON CBCs exhibit class-specific Aii coupling frequencies and areas, suggesting differential Aii output to the various ON CBC classes. Similarities with mouse are striking. 3 classes (rabbit: CBb3n, CBb6, CBbwf; mouse: T6, T7, Ts6) collect the vast majority of Aii output, one completely lacks Aii coupling (rabbit: CBb3; mouse: T5b), and a second rarely couples (rabbit: CBb4; mouse: T5c). Moreover, these classes exhibit similar stratification (shallow = strata 3/4 vs deep = strata 4/5). In cat, only one shallow class (b3) lacks Aii coupling, but uniquely, so does a deep (b2). Additionally, frequency counts and area measures suggest a differential output preference to deep versus shallow classes in cat, but the converse in rabbit and mouse. Assessments of noncanonical heterocellular AC partners are incomplete, but we identified novel xAC class(es) inconsistent with known mouse AC partners (Kolb and Nelson, 1996; Lee et al., 2015; Kerstein et al., 2019; Yadav et al., 2019). Homocellular coupling varies more. In rabbit, 5 of 7 classes in-class couple according to axonal tiling patterns, in contrast to a single class in cat and none in mouse, owing to lack of contact. Tiling in macaque (Tsukamoto and Omi, 2016) suggests abundant opportunities for 3 of 6 classes, and in-class coupling was reported for at least one human ON CBC class, despite limited opportunity (Kántor et al., 2017), suggesting that in-class coupling may be the rule. Cross-class coupling appears considerably more extensive in rabbit, with all 7 classes participating to some extent. Cross-class coupling is generally more pervasive among shallow classes, although robust coupling between the deep T6 and T7 classes in mouse is a clear exception. Even in RC1, such gap junctions among the deep classes are sparse (average: <2/cell) and small, possibly causing them to have been missed in previous datasets. Colocalization of CX36 interior to DB6 branch tips and not with Aii processes supports cross-class dominancy in human ON CBC coupling as well (Kántor et al., 2017).

Despite possible divergence in overall coupling extent, the underlying motifs appear fairly conserved, facilitating class correlation across species (Table 3). We define 7 classes in rabbit, consistent with previous classification schemes, but contrasting with
8 in mouse and 5 in cat. Notably, comparisons with mouse using morphology or Aii coupling alone yielded incongruent schemes. We prioritized connectivity, as this more likely correlates with function (Vlasits et al., 2019), and underlies the discrepancy between our alignment of cat b2 with mouse T7 rather than mouse T8, as proposed by Tsukamoto and Omi (2017). We also predict the existence of 2 additional classes in cat retina (b3b and b4b), proposing their resolution by Cohen and Sterling (1990).
was limited by small sample sizes and similar Aii connectivity. True functional correlation across species requires additional features. It will be interesting to see how these hold up as additional connectivity and physiology features become available.

Irrespective of species, coupling profiles are class-specific: cells accept and reject unique combinations of Aii and ON CBC partners according to robust rulesets. The differences reported here in the frequency, size, and spatial distributions of gap junctions across motifs argue that coupling specificity is as rigorous as chemical synaptic specificity. Costratiﬁcation is often touted as the critical mechanism conferring speciﬁcity within retina, but, as shown here, is a poor indicator of coupling speciﬁcity and synaptic speciﬁcity in general (e.g., GC 8575; Fig. 14). Geometric and anatomic opportunity also fails to confer speciﬁcity. Thus, as demonstrated for chemical synaptic precision, including in ON CBCs (Morgan et al., 2011; Yogev and Shen, 2014), coupling speciﬁcity requires molecular partner discrimination or activity-dependent preﬁnement. Invertebrate innexus-based gap junctions play dual roles, conferring target speciﬁcity by acting as “lock and key” recognition factors (Baker and Macagno, 2014). A general lack of connexin diversity and extensive Cx36/45-mediated motifs (Lin et al., 2005; Li et al., 2008; Kántor et al., 2017) opposes a similar role in mammalian ON CBCs.

How might ON CBC coupling inﬂuence retinal networks? Uneven distribution of Aii signals to ON CBC classes has clear implications on scotopic signaling (Fig. 14A). Some GCs exhibiting high scotopic thresholds appear to lack primary pathway input, relying instead on secondary (direct rod-cone photoreceptor coupling) and/or tertiary (direct rod-to-CBC synapses) pathways (Deans et al., 2002; Volgyi et al., 2004; Seilheimer et al., 2020). Non-Aii-coupled CBb3 and CBb4 classes may provide the predominant input for such GCs. Alternatively, these classes may not participate in scotopic retinal circuitry at all, as some GCs exhibit only photopic responses (Deans et al., 2002). However, rod-cone coupling is extensive (Owen, 1985; Wu and Yang, 1988; Tsukamoto et al., 2001; Massey, 2008; Asteriti et al., 2017), making it difﬁcult to conceive of CBCs that would not participate in the secondary pathway. Moreover, both CBb3 and CBb4 indirectly couple with Aii cells through other ON CBC classes, although differential regulation, as described for homocellular Aii-Aii and heterocellular Aii-ON CBC coupling (Mills and Massey, 1995; Xia and Mills, 2004; Petrides and Trexler, 2008), may reconfigure these circuits.

ON CBC coupling also has implications for photopic networks (Fig. 14A). Gap junction-mediated photopic signal spread among ON CBCs generates nonlinear ampliﬁcation of CBC output at chemical synapses to some GCs, increasing GC sensitivity to spatiotemporally correlated stimuli (Kuo et al., 2016). Although we ﬁnd some ON CBC gap junctions exist in “mixed” (chemical plus electrical) synapses, none occur with GCs, consistent with the proposition that this modulation occurs through lateral motifs within the coupled Aii-ON CBC network (Demb and Singer, 2016; Kuo et al., 2016). Indirect coupling mediated by Aii cells may contribute, as Aii-ON CBC gap junctions are bidirectional (Xin and Bloomﬁeld, 1999; Trexler et al., 2001; Demb and Singer, 2012), despite ~2-fold rectiﬁcation (Veruki and Hartveit, 2002a). However, we reveal potential direct paths through homocellular motifs. Contribution by in-class coupling is intuitive, or these motifs may facilitate enhanced signal saliency as reported for cone photoreceptors (DeVries et al., 2002). The mixing of parallel channels afforded by cross-class coupling could also contribute.
Alternatively, mixing may shape more complex temporal output (Euler et al., 2014) and/or desynchronization (Vervaete et al., 2010), although sustained and transient classes tend to segregate with stratification depth (Baden et al., 2013), similar to cross-class coupling. In support of the latter, oscillations emerge from the Aii-ON CBC network when photoreceptor input is blocked (Trenholm et al., 2012).

Our method provides no indication of open probability. Moreover, connexin composition [which remains ambiguous, but likely differs between coupling modes (Lin et al., 2005; compare Li et al., 2008)] and modulatory mechanisms [which can differ for the same connexin in different cell classes (O’Brien, 2019)], will clearly regulate when and to what degree signals flow along these paths (Veenstra, 1996; Nielsen et al., 2012). However, effects on network activity are often poorly predicted by coupling coefficients (Haas, 2015), and even a small fraction of open channels can confer network effects (Curti et al., 2012; Szoboslay et al., 2016), including in the coupled CBC network of the retina (Farrow et al., 2013). Furthermore, coupling effects on networks depend on the spatial and temporal spread of signals and are therefore dynamic and context-dependent (Alcami and Pereda, 2019). In degenerated retinal networks, Cx36-expressing gap junctions within the extended Aii-ON CBC network mediate aberrant hyperactivity contributing to visual impairment (Trenholm et al., 2012; Ivanova et al., 2016), and an elevated fraction of phosphorylated Cx36 (Ivanova et al., 2015) suggests aberrant opening. Whether this reflects deregulation of normative or novel motifs remains unknown.

Our findings significantly expand the repertoire of motifs within the Aii-ON CBC network, providing additional sites for disruption in disease and possibly therapeutic targeting. Deciphering their contributions is vital to our understanding of visual processing in the retina. Here, we detail the available partnerships, frequencies, sizing, and topology for future physiology and modeling studies assessing function.
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